

Page No.# 1/3

GAHC010100712023



Application No.	Application Received on	Date on which copy was made ready	Fees paid (Rs.)	Posting date to Delivery Desk
566816	09/06/2023	09/06/2023	100.00	09/06/2023

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT

(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WA/158/2023

MUNINDRA CHANDRA DEKA RETURNING OFFICER OF ASSAM PHARMACY COUNCIL, DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICE CAMPUS, HENGERABARI, GUWAHATI-36, ASSAM

VERSUS

ASSAM REGISTERED PHARMACIST UNION (ARPU) AND 6 ORS. REP. BY ITS GEN. SECY. DIGANTA CHOUDHURY, HAVING ITS REGD OFFICE AT PANJABARI, GUWAHATI, KAMRUP ASSAM

2:SRI DIGANTA CHAKRABARTY GS OF ARPU S/O- DULAL CHAKRABARTY R/O- PANJABARI BAGHORBORI GUWAHATI-37

3:IKBAL HUSSAIN CHIEF ORGANISING SECY. OF ARPU S/O- RAFIQUL ISLAM R/O- VILLAGE - JARAMARI BALISATRA DHING NAGAON-782122 ASSAM

4:THE STATE OF ASSAM PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT DISPUR GUWAHATI-06

Page No.# 2/3

5:THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES ASSAM HENGRABARI GUWAHATI 36

6:THE ASSAM PHARMACY COUNCIL REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT PHARMACY BHAWAN DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICE CAMPUS HENGERABARI GUWAHATI-36 ASSAM

7:THE REGISTRAR ASSAM PHARMACY COUNCIL DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICE CAMPUS HENGERABARI GUWAHATI-3

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR B D DAS

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, HEALTH

BEFORE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

Date : 08-06-2023

5

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The instant intra-court writ appeal is preferred by the appellant herein seeking to assail the order dated 26.04.2023, passed by the learned Single Bench accepting WP(C) 2196/2023 preferred by the respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3 in this appeal and interfering into the Notification dated 10.02.2023, issued for holding the elections of six members of the Assam Pharmacy Council.

There is no dispute amongst the parties that the appellant herein, having been impleaded as respondent in the writ petition, was not heard because the writ petition was disposed of at the motion stage without issuing any formal notice. Thus, though



Page No.# 3/3

the remaining five respondents in the writ petition were represented, the appellant herein, despite being arrayed as respondent no. 6 was deprived of the opportunity of putting his case before the learned Single Judge.

As a consequence, we are of the opinion that the order under challenge cannot be sustained because the same was passed without providing opportunity of hearing to the contesting party, i.e. the appellant herein.

Consequently, the impugned order dated 26.04.2023, passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No. 2196/2023 is hereby reversed and set aside. The writ petition will be restored to its original number and will be taken up for consideration on 19.07.2023.

The names of the learned counsel representing the respective parties shall be reflected in the Causelist.

The writ appeal is allowed accordingly.

SOF Mitali Thakuria SOF Sandeep Mehta JUDGE CHIEF JUSTICE

2923

Comparing Assistant

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY Date. 9/6/23 Administrative Officer (Judicial) Copying Section Gauhati High Court Authorised U/S 76, Act 1, 1872